

Effect of Crossing Fulani Ecotype with Rhode Island Red Chickens on Growth Performance and Reproductive Traits in Southern Guinea Savanna Region of Nigeria

Amao Shola Rasheed

Department of Agricultural Education (Animal Sci. Division; Animal Breeding and Genetics Unit), School of Vocational and Technical Education, Emmanuel Alayande College of Education, Isokun Campus, Oyo, Nigeria

Email address

sholaamao@gmail.com

To cite this article

Amao Shola Rasheed. Effect of Crossing Fulani Ecotype with Rhode Island Red Chickens on Growth Performance and Reproductive Traits in Southern Guinea Savanna Region of Nigeria. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences*. Vol. 4, No. 2, 2017, pp. 14-18.

Received: March 12, 2017; **Accepted:** April 27, 2017; **Published:** August 14, 2017

Abstract

The study evaluated the crossing potential of Fulani ecotype (FE) with Rhode Island Red (RIR) chickens and their progenies on productive performance. The two chicken breeds used were the Fulani Ecotype (FE) and Rhode Island Red (RIR) as dams and sires line. Pure, straight and reciprocal crosses mating designed were adopted to produced progenies of FE x FE, RIR x RIR, RIR x FE, FE x RIR genotypes. RIR genotype were significantly ($P < 0.05$) differed for body weight (1650 g), feed intake (90.66 g), average daily gain (10.22 g) and feed to gain ratio (8.87) than other genotypes but followed closely was FE x RIR crossbred for variables measured on growth performance. Egg production performance of the pure and crossbred were compared with each other and RIR x FE crossbred were better in respect to values of 47 g, 60.78% and 58.88% for egg weight, HDEP% and HHEP% respectively. FE x RIR crossbred better for age at sexual maturity (151days) while RIR x FE genotype were favoured for body weight at sexual maturity (1841 g), fertility (76.15%) and hatchability (98.25%) than other groups of genotype. It can be concluded that combining effect of FE x RIR or RIR x FE crossbred were better than pure FE and lesser than pure RIR chickens while outstanding crosses was the straight mating (RIR x FE).

Keywords

Crossing, Fulani Ecotype, RIR, Reproductive Traits, Southern Guinea Savanna

1. Introduction

Indigenous chickens in the tropics had been identified for their poor producer of egg and meat “[1], [2]” but even with their low productivity, they are well adapted to the tropics, resistant to poor management, feed shortages and tolerate some of the most common diseases and parasites “[3]”. On the other hand, improved exotic chickens produce higher number of eggs and more meat than the indigenous chicken breeds, but tropical climate is a great challenge. They are not adapted to adverse environmental conditions, such as high temperature, disease and shortage of feed “[4], [5]”. Meanwhile, the genetic diversity merit of indigenous and exotic chicken breeds could be utilized by cross breeding schemes. Crossbreeding is one of the tools for exploiting

genetic variation. The main purpose of crossing in chicken is to produce superior crosses (hybrid vigor), to improve fitness and fertility traits and to combine different characteristics in which the crossed breeds were valuable “[6]”. The goal will then be to get a new breed or hybrid that is resistant to harsh tropical conditions and at the same time produces a reasonable amount of egg and meat “[7], [8], [9]”.

Studies in Nigeria indicated that the egg production at smallholder level could be doubled in the existing production system through intervention of crossbreeding in a semi-scavenging poultry model “[10]”. Moreover, in an evaluation of the egg production performance of crossbreeds between local and exotic birds, conducted by different research and development organizations in Nigeria, it was showed that the overall performance of the crosses was better than either of the native or exotic parents under the prevailing production

condition “[11]”.

Moreover, crossing between chicken strains improved the production traits such as body weight at sexual maturity, egg number, egg weight and egg mass compared with those for pure strains “[12]”. Recent reports from “[13]” revealed the potential of crossing RIR with Fayoumi chickens while “[14]” also reported the possible impact of Fulani ecotype chickens using in breeding schemes in the tropical environment. These attributes of the mentioned chickens can therefore be utilized in this environment. The aim of study was to evaluate the effect of crossing effect of Fulani ecotype and Rhode Island Red (RIR) chickens on growth performance (body weights, feed intake, average daily gain and feed to gain ratio), egg production traits (average egg weight, HHEP and HDEP) and reproductive traits (age at sexual maturity, body weight at sexual maturity, fertility and hatchability percentage) especially in the southern guinea savanna condition of Nigeria.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Site

The study was carried out at the poultry unit of Teaching and Research Farm, Emmanuel Alayande College of Education, Oyo, Oyo state, Nigeria and Oyo lies on the longitude 3°5' east of the green witch meridian and latitudes 7°5' North eastwards from Ibadan, the capital of Oyo State. The altitude is between 300 and 600 meter above sea level. The mean annual temperature and rainfall are 27°C and 1,165mm respectively. The vegetation of the area is Southern guinea savanna zone of Nigeria “[15]”.

2.2. Experimental Birds and Management

Total of forty-eight (48) cocks and seventy- two (72) hens belonging to two different strains were used for the experiment. The two strains were the Fulani ecotype (hens: 36; cocks: 24) and Rhode Island Red (hens: 36; cocks: 24) chickens. The indigenous chickens used as parents stock were purchased from some villages around the study site while the Rhode Island Red chickens were procured from a reputable farm. All hens were purchased at age range of 16 - 18weeks, while the cocks were purchased at age range of 15 - 17weeks old. The birds were individually wing tagged for identification purpose. The cocks were trained for semen collection by applying slight pressure at the back towards the tail forty times daily before sperm production. Feathers around the sire's vent were shaved at two weeks interval and semen collection started at 22 weeks of age.

2.3. Experimental Feeds and Feeding

The birds were fed *ad-libitum* with commercial breeder mash containing 17.5% crude protein and 2700kcal Metabolizable energy. Clean water was also supplied *ad-libitum*. Medications and vaccinations were done as required by procedure described by “[16]”.

2.4. Experimental Mating

Artificial Insemination (AI) was adopted in mating the hens. The massage technique was used to collect semen from the cocks of Fulani ecotype and Rhode Island Red birds. The semen collected was inseminated immediately into a doughnut shape in the left vent of the hens. This was done twice week in the evening. For each hen 0.1ml of undiluted semen was used for insemination each time.

The mating procedure is as follows:

Fulani Ecotype (Male) × Fulani Ecotype (Female):
 $FE_m \times FE_f = \text{Pure}$

Rhode Island Red (Male) × Rhode Island Red (Female):
 $RIR_m \times RIR_f = \text{Pure}$

Rhode Island Red (Male) × Fulani Ecotype (Female): $RIR_m \times FE_f = \text{Straight}$

Fulani Ecotype (Male) × Rhode Island Red (Female): $FE_m \times RIR_f = \text{Reciprocal}$

2.5. Method of Egg Collection and Incubation

Eggs from artificial insemination hens were collected pedigreed along genotype lines and stored in a cool room at 18°C to 20°C for five days before the eggs were taken to the hatchery for incubation. The eggs were set in a cabinet type incubator at a commercial hatchery. The eggs were set along the genotype lines at a temperature between 27 - 39°C and a relative humidity of 55 - 56% for eighteen days, then the temperature was then increased to 29 - 40°C and a relative humidity of 70 - 75% from nineteenth day to hatching time. The eggs were also turned automatically through 90° in the incubator.

Candling was carried out on the 18th day of incubation for the identification of fertile eggs, and clear eggs. The process was carried out in a dark room using a Candler fixed with a neon fluorescent tube. The eggs were placed on the Candler for easy penetration of light through the eggs and the eggs were viewed against the source of light. The fertile eggs were seen to be densely clouded and opaque with network of veins indicating development of embryo within the eggs while the unfertile eggs were translucent under the light. Number of infertile and embryonic mortality was recorded. After candling, the fertile eggs were transferred into the hatching tray according to the genotypes into the hatchery unit and spent three days. After the chicks hatched, they were leaved in the hatchery until 90% were dried. On the 21st day, the numbers of hatched chicks including the normal, weak, abnormal chicks and dead chicks after hatch were recorded.

2.6. Housing and Management of Chicks

All chicks resulting from each genotype were properly identified by wing tagged with an industrial galvanized aluminum tags at the wing web at day old. All the birds were raised under the same intensive management system. The day old chicks were transferred to a separate and previously disinfected brooders pen. Every batch was brooded for four

weeks period. The chicks were fed with a commercial chicks mash that supplied 22% crude protein and 2900 Kcal/kg Metabolizable Energy up to 6 weeks of age. Thereafter, they were fed with commercial grower's ration that supplied 16% crude protein and 2800 Kcal/kg Metabolizable Energy. Clean water was supplied *ad-libitum*. Medication and vaccination were done as at when due and as described by "[16]".

2.7. Data Collection

(a). Data were obtained on the following parameters when the birds were twelve weeks into laying: average egg weight, number of egg set per genotype, number and percentage of fertile eggs, number and percentage of infertile eggs, number of eggs hatched, fertility%, hatchability%, hen day egg production percentage and hen housed production using the formula below:

$$\% \text{ fertility} = \frac{\text{Number of fertile eggs}}{\text{Number of egg set}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

The eggs hatched and hatchability was calculated thus:

$$\% \text{ hatchability} = \frac{\text{Number of chicks hatched}}{\text{Number of fertile eggs}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

$$\text{HDEP}\% = \frac{\text{Number of eggs produced}}{\text{Number of hen alive}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

$$\text{HHEP}\% = \frac{\text{Number of eggs produced}}{\text{Number of hens housed}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

(b). Growth performance: body weights, feed intake, average daily gain and feed to gain ratio were monitored on each genotype from day old to 36 weeks of age. These were obtained through the below procedures:

Body weight (g): This will be measured with the use of an electronic kitchen scale with maximum capacity of 20kg or 2000g

Feed Intake: The feed left over were subtracted from feed given and the value divided by total number of birds daily.

Daily weight gain (g): This is the difference in body weight values between two consecutive measurements were divided by the number of days to obtain the daily body weight gain.

Feed conversion ratio: This was calculated as the ratio of daily weight gain to daily feed intake within each measurement period

(c). Reproductive traits: age at maturity and body weight at sexual maturity were obtained through the below procedure:

Egg weight: Eggs laid by each hen was weighed on daily basis. The average egg weight obtained from individual hens for each week of lay for each line over the short-term period was used in the data analysis. All weights were obtained using an electronic weighing balance (Mettler P1020N) having a sensitivity of 0.01g

Body weight at sexual maturity: This was determined by weigh the pullets with the used of an electronic kitchen scale with maximum capacity of 20kg.

Age at sexual maturity: This was determined by counting days or weeks from hatch to the day the first egg is laid provided a second egg was laid within ten days following the first.

2.8. Data Analysis

All data was subjected to one-way analysis of variance in a completely randomized design using the procedure of general linear model of "[17]" and significant means were separated with the same procedure of "[17]". The below model was adopted:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + e_{ij}$$

Where,

Y_{ij} = individual observation

μ = overall mean

α_i = fixed effect of i^{th} genotype (1, 2, 3, 4)

e_{ij} = experimental errors which is evenly distributed.

3. Results

The mean values of body weight, feed intake, average daily weight and feed to gain ratio is presented in table 1. Growth performance was significantly ($P < 0.05$) affected by the genotype. RIR had the highest body weight (1650 g), feed intake (90.66 g), feed conversion ratio (8.87) coupled with least weight gain (10.22 g) followed closely was reciprocal crossbred of FE x RIR with values 1405 g, 88.19 g, 15.44 g and 8.55 for body weight, feed intake, average daily weight and feed to gain ratio respectively while the FE birds had the least values for all the parameters measured.

Table 1. Mean values and standard errors of body weights, feed intake, average weight gain and feed to gain ratio of Fulani Ecotype, Rhode Island Red chickens and their crosses.

Genotype	BDW(g)	FI(g)	WG(g)	FCR
FE × FE	1129.00 ± 17.09 ^d	89.25 ± 8.04 ^a	10.44 ± 1.02 ^c	8.55 ± 0.27 ^a
FE × RIR	1405.18 ± 35.96 ^b	88.19 ± 7.73 ^{ab}	15.44 ± 1.27 ^a	5.71 ± 0.02 ^b
RIR × FE	1337.93 ± 17.90 ^c	77.33 ± 7.72 ^b	13.69 ± 1.72 ^b	5.50 ± 0.07 ^b
RIR × RIR	1650.90 ± 19.60 ^a	90.66 ± 6.67 ^a	10.22 ± 1.05 ^c	8.87 ± 0.03 ^a

^{abc}Mean along the same column with different superscripts are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different

FE × FE = Fulani ecotype, FE × RIR = Fulani ecotype Rhode Island Red crossbred, RIR × FE = Rhode Island Red Fulani ecotype crossbred, RIR × RIR = Rhode Island Red. BDW (g) = Body weight, FI (g) = Feed intake, WG (g) = Average weight gain, FCR = feed to gain ratio.

Table 2. Mean values and standard errors of average egg weights, hen day egg production% and hen housed egg production of Fulani Ecotype, Rhode Island Red chickens and their crosses.

Genotype	Average Egg Weight (g)	HDEP%	HHEP%
FE×FE	35.75 ± 0.76 ^c	52.97 ± 4.45 ^c	50.34 ± 2.33 ^c
FE × RIR	43.22 ± 0.73 ^b	53.90 ± 3.56 ^c	51.22 ± 1.99 ^c
RIR × FE	47.35 ± 0.22 ^a	60.78 ± 4.78 ^a	58.88 ± 4.55 ^a
RIR × RIR	48.02 ± 0.66 ^a	58.33 ± 3.46 ^b	56.44 ± 3.88 ^b

^{abc}Mean along the same column with different superscripts are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different

FE × FE = Fulani ecotype, FE × RIR = Fulani ecotype Rhode Island Red crossbred, RIR × FE = Rhode Island Red Fulani ecotype crossbred, RIR × RIR = Rhode Island Red. HDEP% = hen day egg production, HHEP% = hen housed egg production

Table 2 showed mean values and standard errors of average egg weights, hen day egg production and hen housed egg production of Fulani Ecotype, Rhode Island Red chickens and their crosses. There were significant ($P < 0.05$) difference between the genotype and the variables measured. RIR genotype and RIR x FE crossbred had the highest average egg weight of values 48 g and 47 g respectively while the observations for both HDEP and HHEP were better in RIR x FE crossbred (60.78% and 58.88%) compared to other genotypes.

Table 3 indicates the mean values and standard errors of

age and body weight at sexual maturity, fertility% and hatchability of Fulani ecotype, Rhode Island Red chickens and their crosses. Significant ($P < 0.05$) effect observed between the genotype and the measured variables. FE x RIR crossbred matured earlier (151days) than other genotypes with ranges of 161-167days. FE and RIE x FE crossbred had the highest body weight at sexual maturity with the least value of 1342 g for RIR genotype. FE x RIR crossbred had the highest fertility and hatchability percentage (83.48% and 98.25%) followed by RIR x FE crossbred with least observations of RIR genotype.

Table 3. Mean values and standard errors of age and body weight at sexual maturity, fertility% and hatchability of Fulani ecotype, Rhode Island Red chickens and their crosses.

Genotype	Age at sexual maturity (day)	Body weight at sexual maturity(g)	Fertility%	Hatchability%
FE×FE	162.09±4.57 ^c	1850.25±16.89 ^a	66.65±0.47 ^b	99.07±8.90 ^a
FE × RIR	151.32±3.78 ^d	1690.45±19.80 ^b	83.48±6.09 ^a	80.35±9.78 ^b
RIR × FE	167.80±3.09 ^a	1841.35±12.89 ^a	76.15±9.69 ^{ab}	98.25±2.25 ^a
RIR × RIR	161.43±3.48 ^c	1342.86±21.45 ^c	50.00±8.80 ^c	71.89±5.56 ^c

^{abc}Mean along the same column with different superscripts are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different

FE x FE = Fulani ecotype, FE x RIR = Fulani ecotype Rhode Island Red crossbred, RIR x FE = Rhode Island Red Fulani ecotype crossbred, RIR x RIR = Rhode Island Red.

4. Discussion

The current documentation on the growth performance and reproductive characteristic of Fulani Ecotype and RIR breed that favoured RIR genotype and followed closely with the potential of RIR x FE were earlier observed by “[13]” on Fayoumi and RIR, “[18]” on Fayoumi and RIR, “[4]” on pure and crossbred chickens in tropical environment of Nigeria and “[19]” on pure and crossbred chickens in Bangladesh. These authors obtained results on improvement of crossing effects of RIR and other breeds of layer birds compared with pure breed of RIR. However, the present observations on body weight, average daily gain, feed intake and feed to gain ratio that favoured RIR genotype and made a great improvement on RIR x FE crossbred were in accordance with the reported findings of “[20]” on Fayoumi, Rhode Island Red and their reciprocal crossbred chickens, “[17]” on evaluation of dahlm red and desi crosses chicken and “[8]” on growth performance of indigenous x exotic crosses of chickens. These authors concluded that crossing effects of RIR with Fayoumi, Dahlem and desi crosses improved the potential of growth performance of the progenies arising from these crosses. These results contradicted observations made on local birds that showed higher average daily weight gain than the exotic chickens “[1], [22]”.

Meanwhile, the present reports on average egg weight, hen day egg production and hen housed production percentages that revealed better performance for RIR x FE crossbred apart from pure RIR had also indicated an improvement on the part of crossing effect. Thus, these observations were in agreement with the works of “[9]” and “[13]” on Fayoumi and RIR crossing. However, HDEP and HHEP percentages were significantly highest for RIR x FE crossbred than FE x RIR crosses and likewise for RIR than FE. This was agreed with the findings of “[23]”. The present results on age at sexual

maturity, body weight at sexual maturity, fertility and hatchability percentages across the genotype indicated that FE x RIR crossbred was better in days of coming to laying and this was in line with related studies that age at first egg was reduced by a few days in crosses of Fayoumi and RIR chickens “[5], [24]” while body weight at sexual maturity, fertility and hatchability percentages were heaviest and highest for RIR x FE crossbred than other genotypes. These results were in harmony with the findings of “[21]” that the crosses between local and exotic birds showed significantly higher body weight, early sexual maturity and higher egg weight than local Desi birds under same system of management. These results disagreed with the reports of “[13], [25]” that there were non-significant differences between pure and crossbred in fertility and hatchability percentages.

5. Conclusion

Indigenous chickens have good adaptive performances to hot and humid tropics but poor in reproductive and productive performance while exotic breeds take the advantages of better reproductive and productive performance characteristics to indigenous chickens but tropical conditions are the great challenged for the exotic birds. Thus, this helped to develop cross breeding scheme. The resulting progenies from crosses of RIR and Fulani ecotype (RIR x FE and FE x RIR crossbred) performed better in terms of growth, egg and reproductive characteristic than the pure FE and lesser than RIR breed but outstanding crosses was the straight mating (RIR x FE).

Acknowledgement

The author wish to acknowledge Miss. Muritador Aminat Oyinade and Miss Oyedele, Suliyat Oyenike for their

technical and field assistance.

References

- [1] Tadelle, D., Kijora, C. and Peters, K. J. (2003). Indigenous Chicken Ecotypes in Ethiopia: Growth and feed utilization potentials. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 2: 144-152.
- [2] Adedeji, T. A., Adebambo, A. O., Ozoje, M. O., Ojedapo, L. O. and Ige, A. O. (2006a). Preliminary results of short-term egg laying performance of pure and crossbred chicken progeny in a humid environment. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 5(7):570-573.
- [3] Adedeji, T. A., Adebambo, O. A., Peters, S. O., Ojedapo, L. O. and Ige, A. O. (2006b). Growth performance of crossbred and purebred chickens resulting from different sire strain in a humid tropical environment. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 5(8):674-678.
- [4] Adedeji, T. A., Ojedapo, L. O., Ige, A. O., Ameen, S. A., Akinwumi, A. O. and Amao, S. R. (2008). Genetic evaluation of growth performance of pure and crossbred chicken progenies in a derived savannah environment. 13th Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria (ASAN)-ABU, Zaria. 13: 8-12.
- [5] Islam, M. A. and Nishibori, M. (2009). Indigenous naked neck chickens: A valuable genetic resource for Bangladesh. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 65: 125-138.
- [6] Hanafi, M. S. and Iraqi, M. M. (2001). Evaluation of purebreds, heterosis, combining abilities, maternal and sex-linked effects for some productive and reproductive traits in chickens. Second International Conference On Animal Production and Health in Semi-Arid Areas, Organized by Faculty of Environmental Agricultural Sciences, Suez Canal Univ. El Arish-North Sinai, Egypt, 545-555.
- [7] Iraqi, M. M., Afifi, E. A., Abdel-Ghany, A. M. and Afram, M. (2005). Diallel crossing analysis for liveability data involving two standard and two native Egyptian chicken breeds, *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 17(7) (<http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd17/7/iraq17075.htm>).
- [8] Mekki, D. M., Yousif, I. A., Abdel Rahman, M. K., Wang, J. and Musa, H. H. (2005). Growth performance of indigenous x exotic crosses of chicken and evaluation of general and specific combining ability under Sudan condition. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 4: 468-471.
- [9] Fassill, B., Ådnøy, T., Gjøen, H. M., Kathle, J. and Abebe, G. (2010). Production performance of dual purpose crosses of two indigenous with two exotic chicken breeds in sub-tropical environment. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 9 (7): 702-710.
- [10] Egahi, J. O., Dim, N. I., Momoh, O. M. and Gwara, D. S. (2010). Variation in qualitative traits in the Nigerian local chickens. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 9 (10): 978-979.
- [11] Sola-Ojo, F. E and Ayorinde, K. L. (2011). Evaluation of reproductive performance and egg quality traits in progenies of Dominant Black strain crossed with Fulani Ecotype Chicken. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 3(1): 259-265.
- [12] Amin, E. M. (2008). Effect of crossing between native and a commercial chicken strain on egg production traits. *Egyptian Poultry Science*, 28: 327-349.
- [13] Shafik, B. M. N., El-Bayomi, Kh. M., Sosa, G. A. and Osman, A. M. R. (2013). Effect of crossing Fayoumi and Rhode Island Red on growth performance, egg and reproductive traits under Egyptian conditions. *Benha Veterinary Medical Journal*, 24(2): 11-18.
- [14] Ige, A. O. (2013). Relationship between body weight and growth traits of crossbred Fulani ecotype chicken in derived savanna zone of Nigeria. *International Journal of Applied Agricultural and Apicultural Research*, 9 (1 & 2): 157 – 166.
- [15] Amao, S. R., Ojedapo, L. O., Adedeji, T. A. and Olugbemiga, K. S. (2016). Preliminary appraisal of egg quality indices of Nigerian locally adapted chickens in Oyo metropolis, southern guinea savanna zone of Nigeria. 41st Annual Conference of the Nigerian Society for Animal Production held at Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta. March, 20th –24th, 2016. 41:31-34.
- [16] Oladunjoye, I. O., Ojedapo, L. O. and Ojebiyi, O. O. (2006). Essential of non-ruminant animal production, Positive Press, Ibadan, Nigeria, Pp 45.
- [17] SAS (2003). Statistical Analysis System (SAS) users guide, version 9.2 SAS institute, Cary NC, USA.
- [18] Khawaja, T., Khan, S. H. Mukhtar, N. and Parveen, A. (2012). Comparative study of growth performance, meat quality and hematological parameters of Fayoumi, Rhode Island Red and their reciprocal crossbred chickens. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 11: 211-216.
- [19] Miah, M. S., Islam, M. A. and Ali, M. A. (2002). Growth and egg production performance of exotic pure breeds and crossbreds chicken. *The Bangladesh Veterinarian*, 19:43- 47.
- [20] Khawaja, T., Khan, S. H., Mukhtar, N., Parveen, A. and Fareed, G. (2013). Production performance, egg quality and biochemical parameters of three way crossbred chickens with reciprocal F1 crossbred chickens in sub-tropical environment. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 12: 127-132.
- [21] Dilip K. J., Sushil, P, Nishant, P and Kathirvelu B. (2013). Comparative evaluation of dahlem red and desi crosses chicken reared under intensive system of poultry management. *Journal of Agricultural Technology*, 9(6):1405-1410.
- [22] Iraqi, M. M., Hanafi, M. S., Khalil, M. H., El-Labban, A. F. M. and El-Sisy, M. (2002). Genetic evaluation of growth traits in a crossbreeding experiment involving two local strains of chickens using multi-trait animal model. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 14(5): 1-7.
- [23] Addis, G. and Malede, B. (2014). Effect of gene segregations on existed performance of chicken ecotypes in Ethiopia. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 21 (4): 675-680.
- [24] Zaman, M. A., Sørensen, P. and Howlider, M. A. R. (2004). Egg production performance of a breed and three crossbreeds under semi-scavenging system of management. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 16(8): (<http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd16/8/zama16060.htm>).
- [25] Miazi, O. F., Miah, G., Miazi, M. Md., Uddin, M. M., Hassan, M. M and Faridahsan, Md. (2012). Fertility and Hatchability of Fayoumi and Sonali Chicks. *Scholarly Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2: 83-86.